

ACCREDITATION OF PRIOR LEARNING (APL) PROCEDURE AND IMPLEMENTATION POLICY

Document Status:

GCET Policy

In partnership with



ACCREDITATION OF PRIOR LEARNING (APL) PROCEDURE AND IMPLEMENTATION POLICY

Policy Status	Draft version
Policy Number	GCET/AD/RMP/001
Version	1
Date Discussed and Developed	Academic Board (AB) on 11/11/2021
Approved by	Board of Trustees (BOT) on 17/11/2021
Next Scheduled Review	November 2024
Implementing Department/ Unit/ Office	Registry, Admissions and Registration Office
Status	Policy Document

Note: The GCET APL Procedures and Implementation Policy contextualizes the UWE AEL and AL Processes. The document provides guidance to GCET academic and administrative staff on the procedures and practices at GCET to implement the UWE policy in an efficient and effective manner. The scrutiny of AEL and AL cases further supported by staff development workshops on APL processes, procedures, and mapping of international qualifications and credit frameworks.

Abbreviation List:

GCET Global College of Engineering and Technology

UWE University of West of England, Bristol

MoHERI Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation

HEAC Higher Education Admission Centre

GFP General Foundation Program

UG UndergraduatePG Postgraduate

APL Accreditation of Prior Learning

RARO Registry, Admission, and Registration Office

QACO Quality Assurance and Compliance Office

Table of Contents

SUMMA	RY		4		
1.	PURP	5			
2.	SCOPE				
3.	PRINC	CIPLES	5		
4. APL R	REGULA	TORY PROCEDURES PROCESSES	6		
	4.1	SCOPE OF THE REGULATIONS	6		
	4.2	DOUBLE COUNTING OF CREDIT	6		
	4.3	CREDIT LIMITS	6		
	4.4	CLAIMS FOR APL	7		
	4.5	RULES FOR DETERMINING DEGREE CLASSIFICATIONS	10		
	4.6	POST APL GUIDANCE	10		
5. ADMI	NISTRA	TION	11		
	5.1	APL CLAIM FORM AND APL MONITORING REPORT	11		
	5.2	RECORDING	11		
		5.2.1. Student Records and Transcripts	11		
		5.2.2. Recording for Monitoring Purposes	12		
	5.3	MODULE FEES	12		
6. QUAL	LITY ASS	SURANCE	12		
	6.1	PRINCIPLES AND AIMS	12		
	6.2	PROGRAMME LEVEL	12		
	6.3	13			
	6.4	COLLEGE LEVEL	14		
7. ROLE	S AND F	RESPONSIBILITIES	15		
	7.1	APPLICANT	15		
	7.2	PROGRAMME LEADER	15		
	7.3	APL ACADEMIC ASSESSOR	15		
	7.4	APL ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR	15		
	7.5	APL COMMITTEE	16		
	7.6	DEFINITIONS	16		
8. REFER	RENCE		17		
APPEND	IX 1: AF	PL APPLICATION FORM	18		
APPEND	IX 2: AF	PL PROCESS	22		

Summary

Scope						
General Conditions	 Prior Learning should be gained and assessed by a recognised institution. Normally, prior learning should be gained in the last 5 years unless the applicant could demonstrate that he/she has maintained the currency of their learning. APL Claim should be per Module. Credit Recognition should be per level. 					
Student Advice and Support	Initial advice by designated member of Academic Staff, Guidance Notes, Detailed advice by the Programme Leader.					
Maximum Number of credits that could be awarded by APL	300 Credits (around 62.5% of the total credits of the Bachelor Degree)					
Minimum match of Learning Outcomes	80%					
Calculation of final grade	Modules that are exempted through APL are not included in the calculation of the degree classification					
Assessment Process	Application Academic Head of APL Committee Assessor Departm Chaired By the (Programm ent Dean e Leader)					
Monitoring Process	Programme Dep. College Academic Board Annual Quality QAC Report Committee					
Administration Process	Application Assess Record Outcome Supporting Documents Received by Departmen t					

1. Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to set a framework for Accreditation of Prior Learning (APL). APL is a way of recognising and valuing the knowledge and skills that students gained through certificated learning and bring with them when they embark on a programme of study. The Global College of Engineering and Technology (GCET) allows students who provide evidence of prior certificated learning to be considered for exemptions for parts of their academic programme where they can demonstrate that their existing learning, knowledge and skills map to some of the requirements of that programme. This policy will widen access to College programmes, facilitate flexibility of progression routes and promote lifelong learning, ensure consistency in the procedures applied to the accreditation of prior learning and ensure compliance with the awarding body and other higher education regulatory requirements.

2. SCOPE

The APL regulatory procedures apply to both the College's Foundation Programme as well as the Undergraduate and Postgraduate programmes.

APL can be requested as a contribution towards a programme of study from:

- Adults learner returning to education;
- Students wishing to improve their existing qualifications;
- Those wishing to retrain or change careers.

The process of APL may be used for claiming credit against named Modules within a programme, and/or gaining academic credit for an entire stage/level within a programme, enabling students to enter programme with 'advanced standing'.

3. PRINCIPLES

The principles underlying these regulations are consistent with the Mission Statement and the College's Admissions Policy. These principles are that:

- The College's approach to the recognition of prior learning should be fair and equitable;
- The College should respond positively and flexibly to the learning needs of individuals;
- All students should be given the opportunity to achieve to the maximum of their abilities.
- The Quality Assurance Committee (QAC) should oversee APL arrangements within this policy. Each Academic Department should assign one or more senior members of staff with overall responsibility for APL matters.

- The process of recognising certified prior learning must be done based on mapping of the achieved and certificated prior learning outcomes and content against the learning outcomes and content of modules and/or level of the College's programme.
- The mapping and the decision to grant APL must be based on certificates that demonstrate the learning has been assessed and successfully achieved.
- Where credit is being awarded, APL transactions must be endorsed by the appropriate Examination Board. Credits awarded through APL will be recorded on the student's transcript no marks or grades will be allocated for the credits achieved through APL process.

4. APL Regulatory Procedures Processes

4.1 SCOPE OF THE REGULATIONS

- 4.1.1 Admission requirements are set for each programme by the Department responsible for delivery of the programme and the awarding body of the programme, and the **programme specification**, franchised/validated by the awarding body the University of the West of England and the Ministry of Higher Education, must state the APL procedures to be used for those intending to enter the programme with credit (advanced standing).
- 4.1.2 Applicants may be admitted with credit for specific Modules or stages within the programme that they wish to study, having demonstrated that the relevant **Module learning outcomes** have been met. Where credit is sought for an entire level of a programme, the applicant should demonstrate that the interim **level learning outcomes** have also been met.

4.2 DOUBLE COUNTING OF CREDIT

- 4.2.1 **Double counting** of credit must be **avoided**. Credit represents the learning achievements and may be accumulated towards qualifications. A student must not be permitted to use the same credit on two different qualifications.
- 4.2.2 Once credit has been granted for specific learning. The same learning cannot normally be used to gain credit towards any other College award.

4.3 CREDIT LIMITS

4.3.1 Formal **certificated** learning that has occurred **up to five years prior** to the start date of the award **will normally** be considered. However, when assessing the prior learning what must be borne in mind is whether that learning

.5

is still current in the context of the programme elements against which exemption is sought and this may override the five-year rule. If there is any doubt a viva voce examination can help establish currency.

- 4.3.2 The smallest unit of accreditation will be a **Module**. An applicant for admission with **advanced standing** on the basis of prior certificated learning may be admitted to any point on a programme consistent with the credit awarded for prior learning.
- 4.3.3 For a student to be eligible for a GCET's undergraduate award (e.g. BSc, BEng), the normal **maximum credit limit** that may be approved through an APL claim is **62% almost**[two-thirds] of the total credit required (commencing from the point of entry) for successful completion of the final award.

4.4 CLAIMS FOR APL

4.4.1 An individual APL claim has five distinct stages (Appendix 2.1). To ensure consistency, and to enable ongoing tracking of claims, it is essential that all APL claims progress through each of the five stages. However, the process has been organised so that straightforward cases will be able to progress fairly rapidly between stages. The five stages are:

Stage 1 - Dealing with initial enquiries

Stage 2 - Giving detailed advice

Stage 3 - Making a formal application

Stage 4 - Assessing the claim

Stage 5 - Processing outcomes

4.4.2 Stage 1 - Dealing with initial enquiries for APL

- The applicant makes the initial APL enquiry, seeking general background information about APL from one or more of the following appropriately trained and experienced members of staff eligible to deal with APL claims: Programme Leader, Module Leader, APL Coordinator and/or Admissions Tutor.
- If the applicant expresses a desire to progress the APL claim, the member of staff informs the applicant that APL information can be obtained from the Registry, Admissions and Registration Office. This information includes guidance documentation about completing an APL claim and information on fees.
- APL applications are submitted on the APL claim form (see Appendix I). The claim form has a range of purposes:

- ✓ It provides the formal record of the decision made and will be held on record in the Registry, Admissions and Registration Office.
- ✓ It initiates a letter informing students of the decision made following approval of the claim.
- ✓ It is used to update the Student Records System.
- ✓ It forms part of the relevant evidence which is retained for a sufficient time to allow scrutiny by external examiner(s).
- ✓ It provides evidence of good practice on a sample basis to external auditors and/or assessors.

4.4.3 Stage 2 - Giving Detailed Advice

- The degree of advice and guidance required by APL applicants will vary from case to case. As a general guide, it is anticipated that the support needs of applicants for APL could be met in a single tutorial session with an appropriate academic member of staff.
- Giving detailed advice can be undertaken by any appropriately trained and experienced member of academic staff eligible to deal with initial enquiries for APL, though in more complex cases it may need to be the responsibility of the relevant Programme Leader and/or the APL Coordinator.
- The designated advisor will:
 - ✓ Identify what certificated learning achievements the prospective applicant is seeking credit for, and within which named programme.
 - ✓ Discuss with the applicant the types of evidence that will need to be provided, e.g. certificates, transcripts and references.
 - ✓ Offer guidance on completing and submitting the formal application for APL.

4.4.4 Stage 3 - Making a Formal Application

- The applicant is responsible for completing the APL claim form and submitting it together with evidence to the relevant Programme Leader.
- Credit for prior learning can only be awarded in respect of whole Modules, entire level(s) of a programme or a combination of the two. The latter allows the student to enter a level with some 'trailing credit'.
- It is expected that applications should be made at the time a student is registered on a programme. For claims made after the student has

registered, it is expected that the applicant should normally submit the claim within 6 semester weeks from the point of registration.

4.4.5 Stage 4 - Assessing the Claim

- Assessing APL claims starts with academic members of staff from the relevant department. These assessor(s) will have subject expertise relevant to the specific credit claimed and will be eligible to be members of the Assessment Board involved.
- The process of assessing prior learning is subject to the same principles of academic judgement as govern all College assessments. Evidence presented in support of an APL claim must satisfy the criteria of:

<u>Validity</u> - it must be appropriate in terms of level and relevance for the purpose of the particular claim.

<u>Sufficiency</u> - it must be enough to satisfy the learning outcomes of the Module(s) claimed (minimum 80% of the Module Learning Outcomes).

<u>Currency</u> - it must represent the applicant's present knowledge and abilities (five-year rule may apply).

<u>Authenticity</u> - it must be indicative of the applicant's own learning achievements.

- When assessing a claim for advanced standing based upon Module equivalences, the applicant's previous certificated learning should be mapped directly to the learning outcomes of individual Modules that comprise the programme. The extent to which APL applicants must evidence all the learning outcomes of a Module is a matter for academic judgement. It would be unfair to expect significantly higher levels of achievement for APL applicants than the threshold for students enrolled upon the equivalent taught programme. However, in some subject areas, particularly where issues of competence arise, it may be justifiable to expect evidence of achievement of all the learning outcomes.
- When assessing a claim for advanced standing based on a whole level(s) of a programme, the applicant's previous certificated learning should be mapped directly to the interim level learning outcomes as stated in the programme handbook/specification. Subject benchmark statements may also be used as a point of reference together with the

- National Qualifications framework and the generic level descriptors when assessing the evidence.
- The relevant Programme Leader either acts as the assessor, or if it is considered more appropriate, nominates a senior academic colleague (e.g. Module leader) to undertake the assessment. The assessor will:
 - ✓ Determine the specific academic credit to be recommended for approval in respect of a named programme within 1 week of the submission being made;
 - ✓ Record the result of the APL assessment on the APL claim/ application form, signing and dating it;
 - ✓ Return the application form to the Programme Leader (if not the assessor) for authorisation and submission to the University of the West of England for APL Committee approval.

4.4.6 Stage 5 - Processing Outcomes

- The APL Committee at the University of the West of England will verify that the decision has been recorded appropriately, and is consistent with the APL Regulatory Procedures. The APL Administrative Coordinator will refer the claim back, in the first instance, to the Programme Leader in the case of any discrepancies.
- The APL Administrative Coordinator will advise the applicant formally by a letter setting out the outcomes of their APL claim within two working days of the decision being taken.
- Input all successful claims for APL on the College Student Records System. Credit assigned upon the basis of Module equivalences will be represented by the appropriate Module(s) together with an indication that credit has been assigned through APL. This manner of recording will enable APL claims to be reported to Examination Boards.

4.5 RULES FOR DETERMINING DEGREE CLASSIFICATIONS

The Modules that are accredited through APL will not be considered for degree classifications. Marks or grades used in the APL process will not be shown on student's Notification of Credit and Assessment Marks, nor will they be used in the calculation for honours or other differential level of award.

4.6 POST APL GUIDANCE

It is anticipated that the appropriate levels of staff support, will ensure that most applicants who proceed to make a formal claim for APL do so with some reasonable

expectation of a successful outcome. Nevertheless, there will inevitably be a small number of cases where the assessment decision is unfavourable to the applicant. In these circumstances, post APL guidance will be available, on request, from the relevant Programme Leader or APL Coordinator, who will

- Explain to the applicant the reason(s) for the assessment decision;
- Review the applicant's claim in terms of the evidentiary requirements for validity, currency, sufficiency and authenticity;
- Consider with the applicant the possibility of resubmission and, where appropriate, offer advice and guidance on improving the claim;
- Offer the applicant referral for more general academic guidance where resubmission seems advisable;
- In situations where the applicant is still not satisfied with the outcome, advise on the Appeals Procedure as detailed in the College's academic regulations.

5. Administration

5.1 APL CLAIM FORM AND APL MONITORING REPORT

A copy of the APL claim form is included in this Handbook as Appendix I. The APL claim form may be obtained from the College's web site.

The APL Monitoring Report is produced by the APL Committee and is generated electronically from student data stored on the Student Records System. The APL Monitoring Report is used to regularly update Departments on APL activity by providing them with an electronic copy of the report at regular intervals.

5.2 RECORDING

5.2.1. Student Records and Transcripts

- When an APL claim has been assessed and approved, and the applicant notified of the decision, the APL Administrative Coordinator will ensure that the finalised APL claim form are placed on file;
- Where credit has been awarded, this will be entered on the Students Records System and attached to one or more specific Modules within a named programme;
- Entry onto the Student Records System ensures that credits gained through APL, as well as those achieved through College study, are presented to Examination Boards to enable the processing and ratification of results to take place.
- Transcripts are provided on completion for all students that will include all credit awarded through APL for both individual Modules and complete Level(s).

5.2.2. Recording for Monitoring Purposes

The APL Monitoring Report is the starting point for data collection for monitoring purposes. The APL Administrative Coordinator is responsible for updating the APL Monitoring Report and ensuring that Departments receive copies of the report on a regular basis. Departments will ensure that the report is circulated to key staff with responsibility for monitoring APL activity.

5.3 MODULE FEES

A fixed fee of OMR 30 will be charged for an APL application.

6. Quality Assurance

6.1 PRINCIPLES AND AIMS

- The quality assurance mechanisms underpinning the APL Regulatory Procedures are grounded in the institutional quality assurance and enhancement system.
- The quality assurance mechanisms attached to the APL Regulatory Procedures seek to
 - ✓ Promote a culture of continuous improvement.
 - ✓ Interface with programme, Faculty and College systems.
 - ✓ Ensure that quality assurance is a common endeavour involving those
 with professional responsibilities and those with managerial
 accountability.
 - ✓ Ensure that the roles of various key personnel in the quality assurance process are clear and complementary.
 - ✓ Address issues of process (how the regulatory procedures are operating) as well as outcome (the experiences of applicants and maintenance of academic standards).
- The APL Monitoring Process is outlined in Appendix 5.1.

6.2 PROGRAMME LEVEL

6.2.1 The operational functions of the APL Regulatory Procedures are the particular responsibility of Programme Leaders. Programme teams hold a more general professional responsibility for the maintenance of academic standards.

6.2.2 Programme Leaders will:

✓ Receive copies of their respective Department's APL Monitoring Report at least once per semester.

- ✓ Be responsible for routinely monitoring APL activity and discuss any programme specific issues at Programme Committee/Student Council meetings.
- ✓ Inform the APL Coordinator of any APL related issues or concerns which may need to be raised and addressed at a College wide basis
- ✓ Comment on the volume and nature of APL activity in Annual Programme Monitoring Reports/Module Reviews taking into account issues raised in Collaborative Reports as appropriate and any comments made by the External Examiner(s).
- ✓ As well as evaluating staff reflections on the APL process, the programme team is expected to collect, consider and act upon feedback from applicants who have made a claim for advanced standing.
- 6.2.3. Prior to the relevant Examination Board or External Verification Visit, the nominated External Examiner(s) should have access to the most recent APL Monitoring Report for the relevant programme.
- 6.2.4. The External Examiner(s) will not have the authority to change individual decisions regarding APL claims, but may wish to comment on matters of policy or procedure, or raise particular issues of concern, in the Examiner's report. Procedures for responding to issues identified in this way will be those normally applied to any concerns highlighted in External Examiner reports.
- 6.2.5. Formally, the decision to admit a student with advanced standing would not be ratified until the meeting of the Examination Board but provided that approved procedures have been followed, the Board should not overturn the provisional decision.

6.3 DEPARTMENT LEVEL

Departments' Quality Committees are responsible for monitoring the quality of work within the Departments, and for promoting the continuous process of quality enhancement. The Departments' Annual Monitoring Reports are expected to comment on:

- The level and nature of APL activity with the Department.
- Any operational issues that have arisen.
- Common themes which occur across several programme reports.
- Issues or concerns with cross-institutional implications.
- Future development needs.

6.4 COLLEGE LEVEL

6.4.1 Although decisions about specific credit reside properly within academic subject areas, there is the potential for substantial differences in the way similar qualifications are rated in different parts of the College. Hence there is the potential for a lack of equity for students across the College. Thus, in addition to programme and Department based mechanisms for review, the College's APL Committee shall commission an annual 'APL Monitoring Report' prepared by the APL Coordinator that will endeavour to interrogate the process identifying good practice and areas for improvement. Any issues that may have an impact on academic standards or quality of learning opportunities should be referred to the Quality Enhancement Committee for further consideration.

6.4.2 The APL Monitoring Report will focus on:

- The level and nature of APL activity across the College.
- Operational aspects of the Regulatory Procedures.
- Resource issues arising from the Regulatory Procedures.
- Recommendations for amendments to the Regulatory Procedures.
- Issues of academic standards or quality of learning opportunities vis-à-vis APL.
- Other College-wide issues arising from, or related to, the Regulatory Procedures.

7. Roles and Responsibilities

7.1 APPLICANT

Applicant's Role

Makes the initial enquiry

Completes the APL claim form and submits it to the relevant Programme Leader, together with supporting documentation

Can, in the event of an unsuccessful claim, request post-APL guidance from the relevant Programme Leader

7.2 PROGRAMME LEADER

Programme Leader's Role

To deal with all initial enquiries from applicants

To give detailed advice to applicants for APL, and is likely to do so in more complex cases

Assesses APL claims or nominates a representative to do so

Responsible for routinely monitoring APL activity and discussing any programme specific issues at Programme Committee/Student Council meetings

Informs the Quality Manager of any APL issues or concerns which may need to be raised and addressed on Department or College wide basis

Ensures that the nominated External Examiner has access to the most recent records of APL activity.

Comments on the volume and nature of APL activity in Monitoring Annual Programme Monitoring Report/Module Reviews

7.3 APL ACADEMIC ASSESSOR

Academic Assessor's Role

To deal with all initial enquiries from applicants

Can give detailed advice to applicants for APL, although in more complex cases this will more likely be the relevant Programme Leader

Can assess APL claims

7.4 APL ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR

APL Administrative Coordinator's Role

Verifies that the decision has been recorded appropriately, referring the claim back, in the first instance, to the Programme Leader in the case of any discrepancies

Advises the applicant formally of the decision

Records the decision in the APL Monitoring Report

Inputs successful claims on to the Student Records System

Updates the APL Monitoring Report on a regular basis and forwards it to the Departments

7.5 APL COMMITTEE

APL Committee's Role

Verifies that the decision is consistent with the APL Regulatory Procedures.

7.6 **DEFINITIONS**

A	The constitution of the contraction
Accreditation	The practice of recognition of learning.
Advanced	Advanced standing gives admission onto a programme at a point beyond
Standing	the normal start. Student may gain academic credit for an entire level(s)
	of a programme (or with sufficient credit to enter a level with 'trailing
	credit') enabling them to enter the programme with 'advanced standing'.
APL	The Accreditation of Prior Learning (APL) is a process of recognising,
	assessing and awarding of credit for certificated learning.
	Certificated learning refers to qualifications or awards gained prior to the
	current programme of study, from a recognised body and based on a
	validated process of assessment.
APL Assessor	An academic member of staff eligible to deal with enquiries, provide
	detailed advice and assess APL claims
APL	An administrative member of staff who inputs APL decisions on the
Administrator	Student Records System and maintains up to date records of APL activity.
Articulation	A term used to describe a formal agreement between GCET and another
	institution to enable successful students from an identified programme to
	gain automatic entry with advanced standing to a GCET identified
	programme.
Credit	Points credited to a student when the learning outcomes for a Module
	have been successfully achieved. Each Module will be worth a specified
	number of credits, normally associated with the total learning time
	required for successful completion of that Module.
Direct Entry	The term used to refer to students who enter a programme with
,	advanced standing and enter directly into a level of a programme other
	than the first level (level 0).
Level	A formal indication of the relative academic/professional demand of a
	Module in terms of the development of understanding and skills in the
	subject area concerned.
Learning	These are descriptors of the knowledge, understanding and skills a
Outcomes	student should be able to demonstrate following the study of a Module or
	programme.
Module	A Module is a unit of study attracting a given number of credits at a
	particular level in direct proportion to the learning time.
Module	An academic member of staff who is responsible for a named Module
Leader	within one or more programmes.
Leader	within one of more programmes.

Named	A named award is a HE validated award ratified by the College's Academic
Award	Board and the Ministry of Higher Education.
Point of Entry	The level to which a student is admitted to a programme through formal
	entry requirements that may include an articulation arrangement or a
	progression agreement.
Programme	An academic member of staff who is responsible for a named programme.
Leader	
QAC	Quality Assurance and Committee.

8. Reference

"Framework for Accreditation of Prior Learning (APL)" published by the University of Derby, UK Accredited learning (AL) published by the University of the west of England, UK

APPENDIX 1: APL APPLICATION FORM

Instructions for completion of an Application of Recognition for Accredited Learning (AL)

The University defines Accredited Learning (AL) as "formal learning which has been assessed and carries credit".

You should complete this form if you are:

- a) applying to the University for the first time and you already have some AL which you have achieved at another institution and which you think could be recognised towards the programme you are applying for
- already registered on a programme at UWE and you are seeking recognition of some AL as part of an internal transfer. In this case the AL may have been achieved:
 - at UWE (internal AL)
 - ii) externally (external AL)
 - iii) both at UWE and at another institution (both internal and external AL)

PLEASE NOTE: if you are seeking an internal transfer you will also need to complete a separate internal transfer form;

 already registered on a programme at UWE and you now think you may have some AL which could be recognised against the programme on which you registered.

Section 5 of the form is for completion by University staff.

The amount of AL that can be recognised towards a UWE programme is governed by Academic Regulations and Procedures. See Section D6.

A Faculty AL Panel will determine whether or not your AL can be recognised, by matching the learning outcomes of your AL to the learning outcomes of the UWE module(s)/units of study that you want your AL to be recognised against.

Sources of information to help you complete this form:

The University's Enquiry and International Development (AID) Tel: 00 44 (0)117 328 3333; e-mail: admissions@uwe.ac.uk

The Student Handbook – academic matters Academic Regulations and Procedures, Section D6 Student Advisers

Please return the completed AL application form and supporting evidence to:

Academic Records Room 2C08/9 UWE, Frenchay Campus Coldharbour Lane Frenchay Bristol BS16 1QY



Reference Number:	
Date:	

Accredited Learning Application Form (AL)

To be completed if you are applying to the University for the first time and you already have some AL which you have achieved at another institution which you think could be recognised towards the programme you are applying for, or if you are already registered on a programme at UWE and are seeking recognition of some AL as part of an internal transfer. If you need help see a student adviser.

A Faculty AL Panel will determine whether or not your AL can be recognised, by matching the learning outcomes of your AL to the learning outcomes of the UWE module(s)/units of study that you want your AL to be recognised against. The Faculty AL Panel will record the outcome of your application for the recognition for AL section 5.

Section 1

Surname:		
First Name(s)		
Address:		
_		
Contact telephone	number:	Email:
Application Number	er/Student Number (as applicab	ole):
Full title of the UW	E programme and on which yea	ar you are currently or soon to be registered:
BEng(Hons) Electro	nics and Telecommunication Engir	neering
Section 2		
Name of the institu	ition(s) at which the prior learnin	g and credits were achieved:
Higher College of T	echnology	
Full title of the prog	gramme on which you were regi	stered:
Attendance dates:		
From:		To:

Section 3 (for external AL only)

I attach copies of the syllabus details for each of the above together with a transcript or other authorised proof of successful completion 1 (tick box)

(Note for accreditation of Initial Teacher Training degree/PGCE with Qualified Teacher Status, copies of both award certificates must be provided)

Section 4

Section 5 - To be completed by member of academic staff

Please complete the following details, giving the full approved title of the module(s)/unit(s) of study passed that you wish to be considered for AL.

Please list below the UWE modules against which learning has been matched, these will appear on the student record as having been achieved by AL.

Title of Module/unit of study passed	Credits value (eg 10, 20, 30)	Credit level (eg 1, 2, 3, M)	Date Passed	Results pending Y/N	Can be recognised as AL Y/N	Module Code	Module title	Authorised signatory

Student Signature:	 Date:	

Signed: (by nominating member of staff) Print Name:	Date:	
Signed: (by Chair of AL Committee) Print Name:	Date:	
The AL Panel can use this space to record any additional information wishes:	on/a summa	ary of the supporting evidence if it so

APPENDIX 2: APL PROCESS

APL PROCESS





